WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SKEDANSING LANDFILL
A 19-74 Inspector A 19-79 Date: 16-30-74 Time: 9:27 Weather Conditions: - Suna

		· _ Yes	-	No	. Notes
CCRI	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	.849			
1_	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	1			_
	localized settlement observed on the				
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing	.	- 1	1/	I
<u></u>	CCR7	-			
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells				
	containing CCR or within the general landfill	.			
	operations that represent a potential disruption	-			
3_	to ongoing CCR management operations?  Were conditions observed within the cells or	<del> </del>			
]		<u>ī</u> -			
1	within the general landfill operations that	.[			
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	1		R	
	the CCR management operations.				
CCRF	igitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257-80(b)(	<b>(</b> 4))			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting				
	period? If answer is no, no additional		1		
	information required			~	
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust				
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		1	-	
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR	•	1		
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to		1	1	
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not				1
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?				
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		+		
	Jandfill access roads?		1		-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		<del>                                     </del>		
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			}	-
	corrective action measures below.		l		-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control				•
	measures effective? If the answer is no,			1	\·
	describe recommended changes below.				
10.	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-		<del></del>	
- 1	complaints received during the reporting	1		- 1	1
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question	}			
11_	Were the citizen complaints logged?				-

Additional Notes:		
	•	_
_		

WEERLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT

SEB CANSING LANDFILL

Transfor

Transfor

Transfor

Transfor

Time	5-24-24 Inspector January  8:15 Weather Conditions: - Rain	<u> </u>	سعدا	1in.
	. Ye	s No	1.	Notes
CCR:	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84)			
l.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or			
	localized settlement observed on the			
-	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1	
- 2	CCR7 Were conditions observed within the cells			
ــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	containing CCR or within the general landfill			
	operations that represent a potential disruption			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?			
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	<del>-  </del>		
	within the general landfill operations that		1	
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of			
	the CCR management operations.	1 2	+	
			L	
CRF	ngitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4))			
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting			······································
	period? If answer is no, no additional		•	
	information required.			
5-	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust			
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?	-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to	1 1		•
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not			
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?			
フ_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on			
	landfill access roads?			-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the	1		
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		-	
	corrective action measures below.			-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,	1 1		
<del></del>	describe recommended changes below.	L. 1		
IO_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen			
	complaints received during the reporting			
I.	period? If the answer is yes, answer question			
.4-	Were the citizen complaints logged?			

Q:\Waste Connections\Isnsing\CCR Plan Fmal\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT
SERLANSING LANDETLL

Date	5-17-24 Inspector M	MĊLA		FILL			-
عالمات	•	<u></u>					
Time	Weather Conditions	<u>knn</u>			<u>.</u>		
,		Ye.		No		Notes	
CCR	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	840				110123	
1.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	-: ]			<del></del>		
	localized settlement observed on the	*    -				-	
_	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		1		1.		
	CCR7 _	-	- 1		۱, ۰		
- 2	1 - 72 COTTOTIONS COSCIACT WITHIN THE CENT				<del></del>		
	containing CCR or within the general landfill	•	- 1				
	operations that represent a potential disruption	i		_			
	to ongoing CCR management operations?		-				
3.	Were conditions observed within the cells or	-			-		
	within the general landfill operations that	<u> </u>					
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of	<b>=</b>					
	the CCR management operations.						
CRF	ugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)	(4))			<del></del>		
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting	1			1		
	period? If answer is no, no additional		-	,			
	information required			i/			
5-	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust	-					
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?			-			
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR		+-	<del></del>			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to						
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not						
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?		1				
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on						
-	landfill access roads?					-	
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		-				
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe			1	-		
	corrective action measures below.	•	1			•	
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control		<del> </del>				
	measures effective? If the answer is no,			- 1			
	describe recommended changes below.		1				
IO_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen						~
	complaints received during the reporting	1					
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question						
li.	Were the citizen complaints logged?						
							- 1
tronal :	Notes-	_					

Q:\Waste Connections\Lansing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015=1s=

· WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT Yes No Notes CCR Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.84) Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or localized settlement observed on the sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing 2 Were conditions observed within the cells containing CCR or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption to ongoing CCR management operations? Were conditions observed within the cells or within the general landfill operations that represent a potential disruption of the safety of the CCR management operations. CCR Fugitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(4)) Was CCR received during the reporting period? If answer is no, no additional information required. 5. Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill? If response to question 5 is no, was CCR conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to landfill working face, or was the CCR not susceptable to fugitive dust generation? 7. Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on Iandfill access roads? Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the 8\_ landfill? If the answer is yes, describe corrective action measures below. \_و Are current CCR fugitive dust control measures effective? If the answer is no, describe recommended changes below. IO. Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen complaints received during the reporting period? If the answer is yes, answer question 11 Were the citizen complaints logged?

	·
	£ •
	·
•	
	<u>-</u>

A difficulty Notes

WEEKLY COAL COMBUSTION RESIDUAL (CCR) INSPECTION REPORT SEPTEMBERS LANDFILL

Date	5-3-LY Inspector	nct				-
Time					v5 -	wet
,	-	Ye.	5	No		Notes
CCR:	Landfill Integrity Inspection (per 40 CFR 5257.	849		·		
I.	Was bulging, sliding, rotational movement or	-11			-т	
1	localized settlement observed on the	·  -			/	-
1 -	sideslopes or upper deck of cells containing		- 1		1	
<u></u>	CCR?				1, .	
- 2	Were conditions observed within the cells					
	containing CCR or within the general landfill			1		
	operations that represent a potential disruption	i			1	
3-	to ongoing CCR management operations?	<del> </del>				
3-	Were conditions observed within the cells or	į-		( )		
1	within the general landfill operations that	_[				
	represent a potential disruption of the safety of		- 1		1	
	the CCR management operations.					
CCRF	agitive Dust Inspection (per 40 CFR §257.80(b)(	<u>(4)</u> )				
4_	Was CCR received during the reporting	<u> </u>	$\neg$		T	
	period? If answer is no, no additional			1/	· ·	
	information required.					
5.	Was all CCR conditioned (by wetting or dust		-			
	suppresents) prior to delivery to landfill?		-	-		
6_	If response to question 5 is no, was CCR		+-			
	conditioned (wetted) prior to transport to			1		
	landfill working face, or was the CCR not					
	susceptable to fugitive dust generation?					
7_	Was CCR spillage observed at the scale or on		+			
	Iandfill access roads?		1			-
8_	Was CCR fugitive dust observed at the		┼─			
	landfill? If the answer is yes, describe		1	1	-	j
	corrective action measures below.		1			-
9_	Are current CCR fugitive dust control		<del>                                     </del>			
	measures effective? If the answer is no,		1			
·	describe recommended changes below.					
10_	Were CCR fugitive dust-related citizen	-				
	complaints received during the reporting	1				
	period? If the answer is yes, answer question					
11.	Were the citizen complaints logged?					
				<del></del>		
iitional l	Notes					

QtWaste Connections\Lensing\CCR Plan Final\Weekly Inspection Form 10\_2015 xlsx